## TRANSLATION of related part of Form PCT/ISA/237

#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From Japanese Patent Office
(INTERNATIONAL SEARCH AUTHORITY)

To: HAYASE, Kenichi  HAYASE & CO. 13F, NISSAY SHIN-OSAKA Bldg., 3-4-30, Miyahara, Yodogawa-ku, Osaka-shi, Osaka 532-0003 JAPAN		PCT  WRITTEN OPINION OF THE ISA  (PCT Rule 43bis)  Date of Mailing  22 February 2005		
Applicant's or agent's file reference P37355-P0		See item 2 below for the subsequent procedure		
International application No.	International filing da	te	Priority date	
PCT/JP2004/019509		ber 2004	26 December 2003	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC Int. Cl.				
		9/00		
Applicant				
Matsushi	ta Electric	Industrial C	o., Ltd.	
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:  I ⋈ Basis of the opinion  II □ Priority  III □ Non-establishment of report with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability  IV □ Lack of unity of invention  V ⋈ Reasoned statement under Rule 43,2.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement  VI □ Certain documents cited  VI □ Certain defects in the international application  VII □ Certain observations on the international application				
OMISSION (2 and 3)				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Date of completion of this opinion  07 February 2005				
Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP		Authorized officer		
Japanese Patent O	ffice	Telephone No.		

# TRANSLATION of related part of Form PCT/ISA/237

#### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE ISA

International application No. PCT/JP2004/019509

	PCT/JP2004/019509				
I . Basis of the opinion					
1. This opinion has been drawn on the basis of the language of international application, unless otherwise indicated below.					
OMISSION(2, 3, and 4)					
	2.1				

### TRANSLATION of related part of Form PCT/ISA/237

#### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE ISA

International application No. PCT/JP2004/019509

	er Rule 43,2.1(a)(i) with regard to novel uplanations supporting such statement	ty, inventive step or industrial
1. STATEMENT Novelty (N)	Claims 2-10 Claims 1	YES NO

Inventive Step(IS) Claims 2-10 YES

Claims 1 NO

Industrial Applicability (IA) Claims 1-10 YES
Claims NONE NO

#### 2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS

Document 1: 2003-179985 A (Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd.), 27 June, 2003

Full text, All drawings

Document 2: JP 2-81598 A (Sony Corp.) 22 March, 1990 Full text, All drawings

Document 3: JP 62-115992 A (Sony Corp.) 27 May, 1987 Full text, All drawings

The invention of Claim 1 does not have novelty and inventive step because it is described in the documents 1-3 cited in the International Search Report.

The invention of Claims 2-10 is not described in any documents cited in the International Search Report and it is not obvious to the skilled person.